1886MNRAS..46..150G

Power 240.)  G.M.T.  G.M.T.  Bem  C.M.T.  B. M. M.  G.M.T.  B. M. M.  Io I 0 8 28  Io I 0 8 28  Poor definition.  Io 6 30  Io 6 30  Io 10 30  Io 11 48 0  Io 12 13 0  Io 12 13 0  Io 12 15 0  Io 0 0 9 I 54  Misty.  9 I 0  9 2 30  II 40 0 II 4 I E. C. at telescope.  Io 9 55 Iz 9 27  8 42 0 8 57 0  Bad sky.  Bad sky.	Phenomena of Jupiter's Satellites.  (Power 240.)  G.M.T.  h m s h m s lo R.A.  10 3 0  10 6 30  10 10 30  10 10 30  11 48 0  12 13 30  12 12 0  14 1 0  1 0 0 0 9 1 54  9 1 0  1 1 40 0 11 4 1  1 2 9 55  8 42 0 8 57 0	Phenomena of Jupiter's Satellites.  (Power 240.)  G.M.T.  h m s 10 1 0 8 28  10 3 0  10 6 30  11 48 0  12 11 30  11 140 0  11 40 0  11 40 0  11 40 0  11 40 0  12 9 55  13 9 7  14 1 40 0  15 9 55  15 9 7  16 9 55  17 9 7  18 9 0 8 57  18 9 0 8 57  18 9 0 8 57  19 9 1 0	Phenomena of Jupiter's Satellites.  (Power 240.)  G.M.T.  h m s h m s lo m s lo m s lo 10 8 28  10 10 3 0  10 6 30  10 10 30  10 10 30  11 50 0 11 48 0  12 13 30  12 12 30  14 1 0  9 1 0  9 1 54  9 1 0  11 40 0 11 4 1  12 9 55 12 9 27  8 42 0 8 57 0
tenomena of Jupiter's Satellites.  (Power 240.)  G.M.T.  h m s h m m lo 1 0 8 2  lo 6 30  lo 10 30  lo 10 30  lo 10 30  lo 11 30  lo 12 12 12  lz 13 30  lz 15 30  lz 15 30  lz 16 0 0 9 1 5  g 0 0 0 9 1 5  g 1 0  lz 17 0 0 11 4  lz 18 0 8 37  g 59 0 8 57  g 59 0	Phenomena of Jupiter's Satellite (Power 240.)   G.M.T.   N	Phenomena of Jupiter's Satellite (Power 240.) G.M.T.  h m s h 10 1 0 10 10 10 10 3 0 10 6 30 10 10 30 11 50 0 11 12 13 30 12 13 30 14 10 0 9 1 0 9 2 30 11 40 0 11 12 9 55 12 8 42 0 8 5	Phenomena of Jupiter's Satellite (Power 240.)     Phenomena of Fading?
(Power 24c G.M.T.  h m s 10 1 0 1 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	e iin tact	in tact	Phenomena.  Ec. D. Fading? Fading Half gone Last seen Sh. I. Just within Tr. I. First contact Bisection Inner contact Ec. D. Fading? Fading Just gone Oc. R. Bisection Ec. D. Last seen Sh. E. On disc Tr. E. Just off
	e iin tact	e iin tact	Phenomena.  Ec. D. Fading? Fading Half gone Last seen Sh. I. Just within Tr. I. First contact Bisection Inner contact Ec. D. Fading? Fading Just gone Oc. R. Bisection Ec. D. Last seen Sh. E. On disc Tr. E. Just off

J٤	an.	188	6.				Sa	tell	ites	of	Ju	pite	x,	etc.							15	1
																					y as dark as a	
Remarks,			Some cloud.	Some cloud.	Shadow not seen.		Bad sky.			7.4				Too late at telescope.	Cloudy.	E. C. at telescope.					The satellite was nearly	shadow aiter 10" 30".
4.A.	0 8 OI	7.53 0	12 49 16	17 22 29	10 5 01	10 6 0	12 25 0	8 41 52	9 34 0	11 38 0		6 5r o		11 50 0	14 9	8 35			8 48	•	9 38 0	
M.T.	10 10 0	7 56 0	12 50 5	17 23 10	0 2 01	o 2 oi	12 27 0	8 41 30	9 35 0	11 39 0	0 14 11	6 49 0	6 52 0	11 57 0	14 10 0	8 31 0	8 32 30	8 34 0	8 45 0	8 46 0	9 33 0	9 38 0
Phenomena,	Tr. E. Outer contact	0e. R. " "	Ec. D. Last seen	Ec. D. " "	Sh. I. Just within		Tr. E. Just off	Ec. R. First seen	Oc. R. Outer contact	Tr. I. " "	Just within	Tr. E. Inner contact	Outer contact	Tr. I. On disc	Tr. E. Outer contact	Tr. E. Inner contact	Bisection	Outer contact	Sh. E. Inner contact	Bisection	Tr. I. First contact	Second contact
Satellite.	11.	111.	H	ij	Ħ.	ť	Ï.	IV.	ï	II.	er Poper	H		H.	H	ĭ			ï		IV.	
Date.		1885, Feb. 9	17		81			61				20		25		27						

Date.	Sutellite.	. Ph	Phenomena.	G.M.T.	N,A	Remarks.	
5, Feb. 27	IV.	S.	Sh I Inst within	h m s			
• (		•	and within	11 31 0	11 34 0		
82	ï.	Oc. D	Oc. D. First contact	8 45 0	8 48 0	Fair sky.	
			Half gone	8 48 0			
			Just gone	8 50 0			
	II.	Ec. R.		<b>12</b> 9 56	12 10 5		
			Half disc?	12 13 0		e.	
			Full?	12 15 0			
Mar. 6	III.	Tr. E.	First contact	7 13 0	0 61 2	Fair definition.	
			Half off	7 15 0			
			Outer contact	0 11 7			
	H	Tr. I.	First contact	8 0 0	0 0 8	Bad sky now.	
			Bisection	× × 0			
		-	Inner contact	8 4 0			
	H	Sh. I.	Bisection	8 22 0	·		
			Just within	8 25 0	8 23 0		
	III.	Sh. E.	Inner contact	8 42 0	8 50 0		
		,	Half off	8 46 0			
			Just off?	8 48 0			
	ij	Tr. E.	Bisection	0 11 01	IO 20 0	Good definition.	
			Last contact	10 20 0			
	H	Sh. E.	First contact	10 41 0	IO 42 O	Clouds pass and hide planet.	

## Phenomena of Saturn's Satellites.

## (Power 240.)

January 6, 1885.—Good definition.

Enceladus, S.; very difficult; not up at 8<sup>h</sup>, 8<sup>h</sup> 5<sup>m</sup>, 8<sup>h</sup> 10<sup>m</sup>, 8<sup>h</sup> 15<sup>m</sup>, 8<sup>h</sup> 17<sup>m</sup>, 8<sup>h</sup> 20<sup>m</sup> up? 8<sup>h</sup> 25<sup>m</sup> past? 8<sup>h</sup> 30<sup>m</sup> past.

Tethys, N.; definition poor; not up at 16<sup>h</sup> 15<sup>m</sup>; up between 16<sup>h</sup> 16<sup>m</sup> and 16<sup>h</sup> 17<sup>m</sup> probably; 16<sup>h</sup> 23<sup>m</sup> past.

January 7.—Very good definition when the clouds clear away; high wind.

Dione, N.; 10<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup>, 10<sup>h</sup> 55<sup>m</sup> not up; 10<sup>h</sup> 58<sup>m</sup> not quite up; 11<sup>h</sup> 0<sup>m</sup> on line? 11<sup>h</sup> 5<sup>m</sup>, on line; 11<sup>h</sup> 10<sup>m</sup> past.

January 8.—Very good definition.

Enceladus, N.; reached the telescope too late to see the approach; on the line at 9<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup>? 9<sup>h</sup> 52<sup>m</sup>? 10<sup>h</sup> 0<sup>m</sup> past? 10<sup>h</sup> 2<sup>m</sup> past.

Tethys, N.; not up at 13<sup>h</sup> 20<sup>m</sup>, 13<sup>h</sup> 25<sup>m</sup>; up at 13<sup>h</sup> 27<sup>m</sup>? 13<sup>h</sup> 29<sup>m</sup>? 13<sup>h</sup> 30<sup>m</sup>? past at 13<sup>h</sup> 33<sup>m</sup>? 13<sup>h</sup> 35<sup>m</sup> past.

January 10.—Stormy; bright and clear occasionally

Tethys, N.; 10<sup>h</sup> 45<sup>m</sup> not up; 10<sup>h</sup> 47<sup>m</sup> up? 10<sup>h</sup> 49<sup>m</sup>, 10<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup> up? 10<sup>h</sup> 55<sup>m</sup> past.

Enceladus, S.; very difficult object; on line at 11<sup>h</sup> o<sup>m</sup>? clouds now obscured the planet.

January 11—Windy; snow showers; often clear for a short time.

Tethys, S.; not up at 9<sup>h</sup> o<sup>m</sup>, 9<sup>h</sup> 10<sup>m</sup>, 9<sup>h</sup> 15<sup>m</sup>, 9<sup>h</sup> 20<sup>m</sup>, 9<sup>h</sup> 23<sup>m</sup>, 9<sup>h</sup> 24<sup>m</sup>, 9<sup>h</sup> 25<sup>m</sup> up? 9<sup>h</sup> 30<sup>m</sup> 9<sup>h</sup> 32<sup>m</sup> up? 9<sup>h</sup> 35<sup>m</sup> past? 9<sup>h</sup> 40<sup>m</sup> past.

January 12.—Clear at intervals.

Dione, E.; 6<sup>h</sup> 45<sup>m</sup>, 6<sup>h</sup> 48<sup>m</sup> not up? 6<sup>h</sup> 49<sup>m</sup>, 6<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup> up? 6<sup>h</sup> 52<sup>m</sup> up? Clouds now came over.

Tethys, N.; difficult; 8<sup>h</sup> o<sup>m</sup>, not yet quite up. Cloud then hid the planet.

January 13.—Bad sky.

Tethys, S.; judged on line between 6<sup>h</sup> 40<sup>m</sup> and 6<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup>; cloud and snow put an end to observation.

January 14.—Bad sky; could not see Dione steadily.

Dione, S.; 7<sup>h</sup> o<sup>m</sup> not up; 7<sup>h</sup> 5<sup>m</sup> to 7<sup>h</sup> 7<sup>m</sup> up? 7<sup>h</sup> 9<sup>m</sup> 7<sup>h</sup> 10<sup>m</sup> up? 7<sup>h</sup> 17<sup>m</sup> past? 7<sup>h</sup> 20<sup>m</sup> past.

February 2.—Stormy; good light.

Dione, S.; 10<sup>h</sup> 45<sup>m</sup> to 10<sup>h</sup> 47<sup>m</sup> not up; 10<sup>h</sup> 49<sup>m</sup> to 10<sup>h</sup> 53<sup>m</sup> up? 10<sup>h</sup> 55<sup>m</sup> certainly not short of line; 10<sup>h</sup> 57<sup>m</sup> past? 10<sup>h</sup> 58<sup>m</sup> past.

February 3.—Misty; sat. very faint.

Tethys, E.; 12h 15m not up; 12h 30m past.

February 5.—Windy; wet; bright sky occasionally.

Rhea, N.; reached the Observatory too late for the approach;

9<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup> to 9<sup>h</sup> 52<sup>m</sup> up? 9<sup>h</sup> 54<sup>m</sup> to 9<sup>h</sup> 55<sup>m</sup> up; 9<sup>h</sup> 57<sup>m</sup>

past? 9<sup>h</sup> 59<sup>m</sup> past.

Tethys, E.;  $9^h 45^m$  to  $9^h 47^m$  on web?  $9^h 50^m$  past?  $9^h 52^m$ past.

Enceladus, S.; glimpsed now and then; on web between 11h 45m and 11h 50m.

February 7.—Stormy; often very clear.

Enceladus, E.; a very faint point of light suspected on or

very near line at 6<sup>h</sup> 5<sup>m</sup>.

Tethys, E.; 6h 45m to 6h 50m not up; 6h 50m to 6h 57m very nearly on line or quite so; 6h 57m to 7h om judged on line; probably past at 7<sup>h</sup> 5<sup>m</sup>; certainly past at 7<sup>h</sup> 10<sup>m</sup>. February 9.—Dione, N.; 7<sup>h</sup> 0<sup>m</sup> not up; 7<sup>h</sup> 2<sup>m</sup> nearly up,

7<sup>h</sup> 5<sup>m</sup> on line? 7<sup>h</sup> 8<sup>m</sup> on line? 7<sup>h</sup> 10<sup>m</sup> past.

February 20.—Tethys, E.; 11h 50m not quite up; 12h om up? 12h 5m up? 12h 10m past.

Titan is now nearly at E. elongation.

February 22.—Enceladus, E.; suspected on line at 8 P.M. Dione also.

Rhea, 8h 40m 8h 42m not up; 8h 45m 8h 50m up? 9h 0m past? 9h 5m past.

Tethys, just up at 9h 12m; not up at 9h 15m? 9h 17m to 9h 25m up? 9h 30m past.

February 25.—Enceladus, N.; on line at 8<sup>h</sup> 45<sup>m</sup> ±. February 28.—Dione, N.; glimpsed occasionally; not on web at 10h 45m; on between 10h 50m, and 11h om; past, at 11h 5m.

March 7.—Good definition; Dione, S.; on web between 7h om

and 7<sup>h</sup> 10<sup>m</sup>; 7<sup>h</sup> 15<sup>m</sup> past.

March 9.—Enceladus, E.; extremely faint; 9h 20m up? 9h 30m past? 9h 35m certainly past. The sky clouded before Tethys reached the line.

March 11.—Tethys, E.; 8h 50m nearly up; 9h 0m up? 9h 5m

past? 9h 10m past.

Dione, N.; 9h 35m nearly on line; 9h 40m and 9h 45m up? 9<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup> past? 10<sup>h</sup> 0<sup>m</sup> past.

March 12.—Rhea, E., easy; a little past at 10<sup>h</sup> 20<sup>m</sup>.

Enceladus, N., very difficult; judged on line between 10h 20m and 10h 30m.

March 13.—Tethys, E., cloudy often; on line about 6h 40m.

Dione, E., between 11h 40m and 11h 50m; planet low in W.; misty.

March 21.—Tethys, N. On the line 7h 15m to 7h 20m? 7h 25m past.

Enceladus, S., faint; clearly seen, 8h 10m 8h 15m not up; 8h 20m 8h 25m up? 8h 30m past.

Rhea, E., badly seen; on line 11h 30m 11h 34m.

December 15.—Good definition.

Tethys, S.; 9<sup>h</sup> 42<sup>m</sup> 9<sup>h</sup> 47<sup>m</sup> not up; 9<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup> nearly or quite up; 9h 55m still on line? 10h om past.

## Lunar Occultations.

January 21, 1885.—B.A.C. 57; fair definition; power, 62; aperture,  $9\frac{1}{3}$  inches.

Disappearance, 5<sup>h</sup> 38<sup>m</sup> 5<sup>s</sup>, G.M.T.

Reappearance, 6h 48m 33s

Nautical Almanac times, 5<sup>h</sup> 39<sup>m</sup> and 6<sup>h</sup> 52<sup>m</sup>.

February 20.—38 Arietis, oh 5<sup>m</sup>, escaped occultation; never very near the Moon's limb.

February 22.—a Tauri; disappearance,  $5^h$   $9^m \pm$ ; reappearance,  $5^h$   $55^m$   $13^s$ , G.M.T.

A New Form of Governor for the Driving-Clocks of Equatorials.

By A. Hilger.

I have the honour of bringing before the Royal Astronomical Society a driving governor which I believe will prove of interest to those who consider uniformity of motion an important matter for an equatorial telescope, and especially to those engaged in

the photography of the heavenly bodies.

In former years I have made a great number of Foucault's clocks, with the construction of which many Fellows will be well acquainted, and which twenty years ago were considered—as perhaps they are still, especially in France—the best form of driving-clock for an equatorial. My governor, so far as the fans are concerned, much resembles that of Foucault. A somewhat similar governor, but with three fans, was devised by the late M. Yvon Villarceau; besides these I do not know of any other fan governors, though such may undoubtedly exist.

But there were points in the Foucault governor with which I was by no means satisfied, especially the great complication of pieces and the great amount of friction, which all means error. I do not believe in any form of control which depends upon friction, and finding that, generally speaking, all driving-clocks are so controlled, I have worked out the present design, which is the result of years of experience. I do not think that any governor could have less friction while in action than this particular form, which I claim as my own invention. The friction is entirely confined to the four fine points which carry the two fans D and C. There is no other friction in the governing action whilst these are in motion.

In all forms of governors the clock is liable to go slower either when the fans or governor-balls close, or when the driving weight is reduced. It is not so with this form of clock; an addition of 140 lbs. more or less to the driving-weight will make no appreciable difference in the speed. The speed remains unchanged whether the fans are wide open or nearly closed; I say nearly closed, because, of course, as soon as the fans touch the spindle the control ceases.